



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/908,963	07/19/2001	Itshak Bergel	INTL-0603-US (P11744) 1926 EXAMINER	
21906	7590 09/08/2004			
TROP PRUNER & HU, PC 8554 KATY FREEWAY SUITE 100			SMITH, SHEILA B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON,	TX 77024	2681	14	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/908,963	BERGEL, ITSHAK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sheila B. Smith	2681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period where the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ju	ine 2004.					
,	action is non-final.					
						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-30</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,9-13,15,16 and 22-26</u> is/are rejected.						
r)						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priorical copies of the priorical copies of the priorical copies of the certified copies of the priorical copies of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,				

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1-4,9,12, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kuchi et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,748,024).

Regarding claims 1,12, Kuchi et al. discloses all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, also Kuchi et al. discloses a non-zero complex weighted space-time code for multiple antenna transmission, in addition Kuchi et al. discloses a determining channel, channel prediction terms (502a) for a channel from both first channel estimation terms (506a) derived from first common pilot channel signal (which reads on column 8 lines 66-67 and column 9 lines 1-15) and second channel estimation terms (502b)derived from second common pilot channel signal (506b); and enabling control over future transmission patterns of the channel using the channel prediction terms (S1S2) and exhibited in figure 5.

Regarding claims 2, Kuchi et al. discloses all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, also Kuchi et al. discloses non-zero complex weighted space-time code for multiple antenna transmission, in addition Kuchi et al. discloses a predicting a future state of the

Art Unit: 2681

channel at a specified time based on the channel prediction terms (which reads on column 9 lines 1-15).

Regarding claims 3, Kuchi et al. discloses all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, also Kuchi et al. discloses non-zero complex weighted space-time code for multiple antenna transmission, in addition Kuchi et al. discloses a storing the first and second channel estimation terms in order to determine the channel prediction terms in response to the first and second common pilot channel signals respectively (which reads on column 9 lines 1-15).

Regarding claims 4, 9, Kuchi et al. discloses all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, also Kuchi et al. discloses non-zero complex weighted space-time code for multiple antenna transmission, in addition Kuchi et al. discloses a adaptively calculating the channel prediction terms from the first and second channel estimation terms in one or more iterations (which reads on column 9 lines 1-15).

Regarding claim 6, Kuchi et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) however, Kuchi et al. fails to specifically discloses calculating includes receiving one or more weighted values associated with one or more antennas of a plurality of antennas (1-4 of figure 1a) where said first common pilot channel signal is from a first antenna of the plurality of antennas and said second common pilot channel signal is from a second antenna of the plurality of antennas (which reads on column 9 lines 1-15).

Regarding claim 10, Kuchi et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) however, Kuchi et al. fails to specifically discloses a first estimation terms correspond

Art Unit: 2681

to a channel estimation term calculated in at least one iteration prior to a current iteration of the one or more iterations (which reads on column 9 lines 1-15).

Regarding claim 11, Kuchi et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) however, Kuchi et al. fails to specifically discloses the second channel estimation terms correspond to a channel estimation term calculated in the current iteration (which reads on column 9 lines 1-15).

Regarding claim 13, Kuchi et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) however, Kuchi et al. fails to specifically discloses provide feedback having the at least one weighted value of the one or more weighted values to the first and second antennas of the plurality of antennas (which reads on column 9 lines 1-15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 15,16,22-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuchi et al. in view of Komatsu (U.S. Patent Publication 2001/0046873).

Regarding claims 15,16, Kuchi discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) additionally Kuchi discloses channel prediction terms (502a) from both first channel estimation terms (506a) derived from first common pilot channel signal (which reads on column 8 lines 66-67 and column 9 lines 1-15) and second channel estimation terms (506b) derived from

Art Unit: 2681

second common pilot channel signal (which reads on paragraphs 0077); and enabling control over future transmission patterns of the channel using the channel prediction terms (which reads S1S2 and column 9 lines 1-15) and exhibited in figure 5. However, Kuchi fails to specifically discloses a communication interface; and a processor communicatively coupled to the communication interface.

In the same field of endeavor, Komatsu discloses a mobile terminal for transmission diversity CDMA communication system. In addition Komatsu discloses the use of a communication interface (9); and a processor (20) communicatively coupled to the communication interface (9), (which reads on paragraphs 0042).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Kuchi by modifying a non-zero complex weighted space-time code for multiple antenna transmission with the use of a communication interface, and a processor communicatively coupled to the communication interface, as taught by Komatsu for the purpose of saving on waste of transmit power.

Regarding claims 22-24, they disclose an apparatus corresponding to the method of claims 1-4. The apparatus is inherent in that it simply provides structure for the logical implementation found in claims 1-4.

Regarding claims 25,26, Kuchi discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) however, Kuchi fails to specifically disclose provide feedback having the at least one weighted value of the one or more weighted values to the first and second antennas of the plurality of antennas (which reads on and column 9 lines 1-15).

Application/Control Number: 09/908,963 Page 6

Art Unit: 2681

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5,7-8,14,17-21, objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. Claims 27-30 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

•

Art Unit: 2681

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheila B. Smith whose telephone number is (703)305-0104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 703-308-4825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. Smith 5. SV September 7, 2004

DAVID HUDSPETH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600